

Criteria	Points	Multiplier	Weight	Measure	Data	How to Assess	Data and Score Methodology									
							0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
1. Enhancing Connectivity Throughout Bartholomew County (Goal 2)	1	5	5	Connectivity and Emergency Access across barriers within the MPA	Overlay of project locations across floodplains, railroads, and I-65, as well as along flood risk management plan routes, to enhance East-West connectivity throughout the MPA	GIS Overlay for floodway and railroads, manual check for I-65 and flood routes	Project does not support connectivity at key points - 0 pts.			Project maintains connectivity at key points - 0.5 pts.			Project enhances or creates new connectivity at key points - 1 pt.			
2. Improving Safety - Crash Frequency (Goal 4)	1	5	5	Crash Frequency	Crash frequency (per mile) from provided 2020-2024 crash data	GIS Overlay	No crashes present at project location (includes new roadway construction projects) - 0 pts.		Project falls along a roadway segment with low crash frequency but one or more crashes are present - 0.25 pts.		Project falls along a roadway segment with moderate crash frequency - 0.5 pts.		Project falls along a roadway segment with high crash frequency - 0.75 pts.		Project falls along a roadway segment with very high crash frequency or with severe bicycle and pedestiran crash history - 1 pt.	
3. Proximity to Employment and Population Growth Areas (Goal 1)	1	4	4	Potential Employment and/or Population Growth	Comparison of Base Year and Future Year Travel Demand Models	GIS Overlay from TDM outputs	Project doesn't serve an area with a high employment and/or population growth potential - 0 pts.		Project serves an area with some employment and/or population growth potential - 0.25 pts.		Project serves an area with moderate employment and/or population growth potential - 0.5 pts.		Project serves an area with high employment and/or population growth potential - 0.75 pts.		Project serves an area with very high employment and/or population growth potential - 1 pt.	
4. Inclusion of Multimodal Elements (Goal 3)	1	3	3	Proximity to Multimodal Elements	Columbus Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan Data	Project and Plan Detail Review	Project doesn't address specific multimodal concerns - 0 pts.			Project includes bike/ped elements but is not on a roadway identified as a connectivity need in bicycle and pedestrian plan - 0.5 pts.			Project includes bike/ped elements AND EITHER falls on roadway identified as a connectivity need in the bicycle and pedestrian plan OR is in a ZVH/APP area - 1 pt.			
5. Congestion Mitigation (Goal 2)	1	2	2	Current and Projected Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) Ratio	Travel Demand Model Base Year and Future Year V/C Data (may also look at real time traffic data)	GIS Overlay from TDM outputs	Project is located on a roadway with neither a critical existing nor future V/C ratio (includes new roadway construction projects) - 0 pts.			Project is located on a roadway with a critical existing or future V/C ratio - 0.5 pts.			Project is located on a roadway with a critical existing and future V/C ratio - 1 pt.			
6. Proximity to Vulnerable Populations (Goal 3)	1	2	2	Project Proximity to Vulnerable Populations	Areas of Persistent Poverty, and Zero-Vehicle Households per Square Mile (from US Census Data)	GIS Overlay (Use Enrich Feature)	Project is located in an area that meets 0 thresholds - 0 pts.			Project is located in an area that meets 1 threshold - 0.5 pts.			Project is located in an area that meets both thresholds - 1 pt.			
7. Impact to Environmental & Historic Resources (Goal 5)	1	2	2	Project Proximity to Environmental and Historic Features	Overlay of project locations onto wetlands and historic sites	GIS Overlay	Project impacts environmental or historic features - 0 pts.						Project doesn't impact environmental or historic features - 1 pt.			
8. Consistency with Community Plans (Goal 6)	1	1	1	Project Existence in Relevant Local Plans	City, County, and MPO planning documents	Project and Plan Detail Review	Project is not included in other existing plans - 0 pts.			Project is included in other planning document (for example, a special study or other mode-specific plan), but not local comprehensive plan - 0.5 pts.			Project is included in local comprehensive plan (signifies completion of public vetting process) - 1 pt.			
9. Improving Pavement Conditions (Goal 5)	1	1	1	Pavement Condition Data	County and City Pavement Road Audit Data	Project Location Comparison to Pavement Condition Sheet	At project location, pavement is in good condition - 0 pts.			At project location, pavement is in fair condition - 0.5 pts.			At project location, pavement is in poor condition - 1 pt.			